

No 28RA/389/71.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing a link road from Bijana Khurd to Bijana Kalan, it is hereby notified that the land locality in the described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	REMARKS
Rohtak	Sonapat	Bijana Khurd 0 to 3880	3.59	A strip of land R. D. 0 to 4220 in length having 40 feet width generally lying on the direction north to south along with katcha path and running through the fields of landowner as shown on the index plan and demarcated at site
		Bijana Kalan 3880 to 4220	0.40	
		Total	3.99	

(Sd.) . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,
Rohtak Circle, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch.

Gurgaon Circle

The 5th August, 1971

No. M/108/313.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at public expense, namely, for constructing approach road from Bharangi to Rajgarh in Gurgaon District.

It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

The declaration is made under provision of section VI of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector Haryana P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. or any other special Collector authorised by the Colonization Officer-cum-Special Land Acquisition Officer, Haryana is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P.W.D., B.&R., Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division No. I Gurgaon during working hours.

SPECIFICATIONS

Name of district	Name of tehsil	Name of village	Area in acres	REMARKS
Gurgaon	Rewari	Behrampur Bharanghi	0.45	As demarcated at site Along both sides of consolidation path between Bharangi and Dharan

Name of district	Name of tehsil	Name of village	Area in acres	REMARKS
Gurgaon	Rewari	Dharan	6.99	Along both sides of consolidation path between Bharangi, Dharan and Bighana, except in a reach of 800 ft. near village Dharan on the north of consolidation path, through charagah along the border with Rajasthan
Do	Do	Tankri	1.24	Through cultivated land along Rajasthan border on the east of village and through forest on the eastern side
Do	Do	Rajgarh	6.75	Through cultivated land along Rajasthan border and along the consolidation path between Bighana and Rajgarh
Total			.. 15.43	

O. P. KAPUR,

Superintending Engineer,
Gurgaon Circle, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 10th August, 1971

No. 8420-4Lab-71/26266.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Forgings (P) Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 66 of 1971

between
THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. FORGINGS (P) LTD., FARIDABAD

Present.—

Nemo, for the workmen.

Shri S. B. Sethi, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication between the management of M/s. Forgings (P) Ltd., Faridabad and their workmen,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/209-B/18513-17, dated 16th June, 1971:—

- (1) Whether grades and scales of pay for the workmen should be fixed in the factory? If so, with what details and from which date?
- (2) Whether the workmen should be supplied with the seasonal uniforms? If so, with what details and from which date?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were given to the parties and they were required to put in their respective statements on 6th July, 1971. None appeared on behalf of the workmen on that day in spite

of due service. The management filed the written statement pleading that both the demands of the workmen referred for adjudication to this Tribunal had been met under a settlement arrived at between the parties on 29th January, 1971 in accordance with section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. A copy of the said settlement was also filed along with the written statement.

Notice was again issued to the concerned workmen through their union leaders along with the copy of the memorandum of settlement filed by the management and they were directed to put in their statement of claim. None of the workmen has, however, turned up and their authorised representative Shri Darshan Singh has also not appeared in spite of service. Statement of Shri S. B. Sethi, Manager of the respondent concern has been recorded. He has proved the original settlement, dated 19th January, 1971, copy Exhibit M. W. 1/1 on record. According to him the grades and scales of pay for the workmen in the factory have been fixed and they have been supplied with seasonal uniforms as was being done earlier also.

Since the workmen have not come forward to press their claim inspite of due service of the notice, the presumption is that they are not interested in the present reference, obviously because of settlement,—*vide* memorandum of settlement copy Exhibit M. W. 1/1 which is signed by as many as 11 of their representatives including Shri Darshan Singh, President and Shri C. L. Oberoi, General Secretary, Faridabad Engineering Workers Union on whose behalf the demand notice was given in the case. This settlement was brought about under section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, during conciliation and Conciliation Officer has also signed it.

In the circumstances, no further proceedings are called for in the present reference and a 'No-Dispute' award is given in view of the aforesaid settlement whereunder both the demands of the workmen, the subject-matter of the present reference have been met by the management. There shall be no order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated 29th July, 1971.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 835, dated 29th July, 1971

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 29th July, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 16th August, 1971

No. 8807-4Lab-71/26958.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Municipal Committee, Karnal.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 38 of 1970

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE,
KARNAL

Present.—

Shri Sardha Ram, President, Municipal Karamchari Union, Karnal, for the workmen.

Shri Kishori Lal, Secretary, Municipal Committee, Karnal, for the management.

AWARD

Some industrial disputes existing between the management of M/s. Municipal Committee, Karnal and its employees were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal by the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the following terms of reference:—

- (1) Whether the workmen are entitled to increase in wages ? If so, from which date and with what details ?
- (2) Whether the dismissal of Shri Tarlok Chand is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
- (3) Whether the Baidars and Chungi Moharrirs who have completed 240 days service should be confirmed on their respective posts ? If so, from which date and with what details ?
- (4) Whether the stoppage of annual increment of Sarvshri Shambhu Dayal, Asa Singh and Chaman Lal, Octroi Inspectors is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?
- (5) Whether the termination of services of Shri Sadhu Ram is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
- (6) Whether the termination of services of Sarvshri Tehal Ram, Jagdish Chander, Sat Pal, Ram Dhan and Harish Chander, Octroi Moharrirs are justified and in order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?
- (7) Whether the termination of services of Sarvshri Sat Narain and Sita Ram, Baidars are justified and in order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?
- (8) Whether Sarvshri Tehal Ram and Jagdish Chander, Octroi Moharrirs are entitled to for wages from 12th September, 1969 to 16th September, 1969 ? If so, with what details ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were given to the parties and they filed their respective statements. The respondent Municipal Committee contested the above demands of the employees pleading *inter alia* that the Octroi Department was not an industry. This was treated as a preliminary issue which was found in favour of the respondent Municipal Committee and against the concerned employees of the Octroi Department and an interim award was accordingly made on 28th May, 1971.

The case had to proceed further for the adjudication of the demand of the employees belonging to the departments other than the Octroi Department. The parties have, however, arrived at a mutual settlement and an arbitration board has been constituted for the settlement of all the disputes arising between the respondent Municipal Committee and its employees. This arbitration board shall consist of three members including Sarvshri Ram Lal Chaudhri, Sardar Kartar Singh, Municipal Commissioner and a nominee of the Municipal Karamchari Union, Karnal.

In view of the above agreement between the parties, the present reference has been rendered infructuous and as such the employees do not want to proceed with the same statements of the parties have been recorded. The final award is accordingly made, holding the present reference to be infructuous on account of the aforesaid agreement between the parties. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 10th August, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 868, dated the 10th August, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 10th August, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.